UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-----------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/595,554 | 04/27/2006 | Massimo Sensini | 72214 | 2736 |
| 23872 MCGLEW & T | 7590 10/28/200 UTTLE, PC | EXAMINER | | |
| P.O. BOX 9227 | , | MILLER, SAMANTHA A | | |
| SCARBOROUGH STATION SCARBOROUGH, NY 10510-9227 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 3749 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/28/2008 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|------------|------------------|--|
| | 10/595,554 | SENSINI, MASSIMO | |
| | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |

| | SAMANTHA A. MILLER | 3749 | | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the c | correspondence add | ress | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>26 September 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS | THE REPLY FILED <u>26 September 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. | | | | | | | | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appetor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (a) | dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth interest for the date set for the date set for the mailing | date of the final rejection | n. | | | | | | |
| MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL |). on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi | 36(a) and the appropriat of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Offic | e extension fee ate extension fee e action; or (2) as | | | | | | |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | | | | | | | |
| 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); eer form for appeal by materially rec | E below); ducing or simplifying th | | | | | | | |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a converge NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12. 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). | 21. See attached Notice of Non-Col owable if submitted in a separate, t | mpliant Amendment (I imely filed amendmer | nt canceling the | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is proved the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | be entered and an e | xplanation of | | | | | | |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | ll and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | s to provide a). | | | | | | |
| 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been consideration because: The amended independent claims would need further seen consideration. | ered but does NOT place the applic | • | | | | | | | |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (13. ☐ Other: | | | | | | | | | |
| /Steven B. McAllister/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3749 | | | | | | | | | |